

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

	Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRA Washington, D.C. 20231					
SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPL			APPLICANT	CANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
07/990.985 1	2/16/92 KLE	TSCHKA	н.	3	638_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			MCANE	REWS	ıĘX₩	MINER
	-	34M1/0221				i,
EUGENE L. JOHNSON DORSEY & WHITNEY				ART UNI	IT PAPER NUMBER	
2200 FIRST BAN	C PLACE EAST					13
MINNEAPOLIS, M	N 55402		340 DAT	E MAILED:	<del></del>	1
				02/21/95		
Below	is a communication from	n the EXAMINER in cha	rge of this application			
•	COMMISSIONER	OF PATENTS AND TRA	DEMARKS			
		ADVISORY A	ACTION			
THE PERIOD F	OR RESPONSE:			١		

a) is extended to run b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection. Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above. Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a). Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance: The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. b. hey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. Newly proposed or amended claims 7 would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling 6,25,27,41,43,44,46,47,49,50,53,55,56,58,59,61,62 Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment  $\square$  will be entered  $\square$  will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However: Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. 🔲 The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because 5. 🔲 The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier has has not been approved by the examiner The proposed drawing corrections Other

PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)

65,67,68,70,71,73,74,